SUMMARY REPORT ON THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CITIZENS ECONOMY AND EFFICIENCY COMMITTEE

April, 1972

The Los Angeles County Citizens Economy and Efficiency Committee was created by resolution of the Board of Supervisors in June 1964. The committee is composed of twenty-one members, who serve without compensation of any kind. Chairman of the committee is Mr. Robert Mitchell, retired Chairman of the Board, Consolidated Rock Products Company.

Committee Operation

The committee serves in an advisory capacity to the Board of Supervisors. It is charged with the responsibility to undertake studies of Los Angeles County government and to make recommendations directed towards cutting costs and increasing efficiency. It is also from time to time asked to make recommendations on matters referred to it by the Board.

The County furnishes an office and the services of a full-time executive secretary and a secretary. To assist it in its studies, the committee has called on experts in the industrial', professional and consulting fields who have been loaned by their respective companies without cost to the County. On occasion, at the committee's request, the County has assigned a staff analyst to assist the committee on a particular project. The committee has also had invaluable assistance from many other County officials both in the conduct of its studies and in the preparation of its reports.' The committee budget has averaged \$38,000 to \$40,000 annually.

Committee Recommendations

During its tenure the committee has submitted 16 reports and 20 letters to the Board of Supervisors. The letters covered the committee's comments on various policy items and other County matters. Of the reports, four were minor progress reports to the Board. The other twelve contained 72 separate recommendations proposing major changes in the County'S method of operation.

County Action on Committee Recommendations

The Board approved 74 of these recommendations, generally after conducting a public hearing. Of the 74 recommendations approved, 38 have been fully implemented, 7 partially implemented, and 4 are in process.

Thirty-eight recommendations for various reasons have not been implemented. In Some instances, the County has taken no action. In one case, the authorization to act has been blocked in the State Legislature. Finally, as with the recommendations in County Proposition B in the last general election, the voters defeated the committee's proposals for change.

The following table lists the 12 reports, the recommendations contained in each, and the action taken on each recommendation.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS, COUNTY ACTION, AND CURRENT STATUS

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS	BOARD APPROVAL	IMPLEMENTED	COMMENTS
PRODUCTIVITY IN COUNTY DEPARTMENTS - Oct. 19, 1965 1. The results of the work measurement study in the County Recorder's Department and the Department of Weights and Measures be put into effect.	Yes	Yes	The Committee's report was based on a joint study by the Chief Administrative Office and the committee. To date the program has eliminated 1,028 positions resulting in annual
2. The Board of Supervisors direct the Chief Administrative Officer to establish a formal work measurement program to be extended to all appropriate functions in the County.	Yes	Yes	savings of \$7.8 million.
3. The Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to hire two or more specialists to form the nucleus of the work measurement effort.	Yes	Yes	
4. A management audit function be established in the Chief Administrative Office, the function to be initiated with existing personnel.			
CIVIL SERVICE OPERATIONS - Nov. 16, 1965			
 The Board of Supervisors approve the proposal of our committee to obtain the services immediately of two personnel specialists from industry to assist it in formulating recommendations directed towards streamlining Civil Service Procedures. 	Yes	Yes	Two personnel specialists from the Pacific Telephone Company and from the Southern California Edison Company were loaned to the committee for two months.
2. The Board recommend to the Civil Service Commission that it hold an interdepartmental examination to fill the position of Secretary and assist Chief Examiner to the Commission under the specification outlined in this report. REPORT ON CIVIL SERVICE OPERATIONS	Yes	Yes	The Civil Service Commission asked to defer the appointment of Secretary and Chief Examiner until completion of the committee's study.
The committee recommends that the Board of Supervisors request the Civil Service Commission take the following actions:			The Civil Service Commission did not act on these recommendations until agreement was
 Appoint as a first step an interim Secretary ad Chief Examiner to implement the committee's recommendations. At the same time, establish an advisory committee to assist the interim Secretary in accomplishing the recommended reforms. When major reforms are accomplished or being implemented, conduct and interdepartmental 	V.	W	reached with the E&E Committee on a proposed amendment to the County Charter covering a complete reorganization of County Charter personnel functions. See Charter Amendment on
promotional examination for Secretary and Chief Examiner open to all qualified managers in the County. Write the requirements to emphasize broad administrative ability rather then technical personnel experience.	Yes	Yes	Personnel Functions, August 23, 1966.
	Yes	Yes	
	ı	ı	1

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS	BOARD APPROVAL	IMPLEMENTED	COMMENTS
2. Delegate to the Secretary and Chief Examiner clear and complete responsibility for daily administration of the Civil Service Department. Reserve to the Commission the formulation of top level policy and primary responsibility for final decisions regarding all appropriate employee appeals.	Yes	Yes	The Secretary and Chief Examiner was replaced by a Director of Personnel after the voters approved the Charter Amendment in the 1966 general election.
3. Delegate more responsibility for recruitment, selection, and classification to the larger departments of the County.	Yes	Partial	Officials in other Country department report a major improvement in the operation of their Personnel function in almost all areas since
4. Streamline the standard examination system to reduce the time required to establish eligibility lists. Extend the eligible register system wherever practical in the selection process.	Yes	Yes	the Charter Amendment. They report excellent cooperation in recruitment, setting up examinations, administering eligibility lists and conducting classifications studies. They
5. Permit more flexibility in the determination of time length for eligibility lists, with a general objective of shortening the effective period of the lists.	Yes	Yes	report that the time required to establish eligibility lists on standard examinations has been reduced from 3-4 months to 6-8 weeks. The
 Transfer more responsibility for promotional evaluation to departmental management, especially in departmental examinations. Develop a procedure to standardize promotional evaluations for interdepartmental examinations. 	Yes	Partial	use of the eligible register system (continuous daily examinations system) has doubled.
7. Revise classification procedures to reduce the time between request for classification and inclusion of the change in the Salary Ordinance.	Yes	Yes	
8. Establish standard procedure for investigating the education, work experience, an police records of prospective employees.	Yes	No	The Personnel department checks police records. Further investigation is left to the departments. It is a question whether more thorough check would justify the cost.
ORGANIZATION PLANNING IN COUNTY GOVERNMENT, May 24, 1966 1. An Organization Planning function be established in the Chief Administrative Office.			An organization specialist from North American
2. The Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to employ an experienced organization specialist to direct and form the nucleus of the Organization Planning Function.	Yes	No	Aviation, Inc. assisted the committee in this study. No action was taken on these three recommendations. The Country still lacks an
3. The Board of Supervisors direct the Chief Administrative Officer, in conjunction with the director of Organization Planning to be employed, to formulate and publish to all accountable members of management the basic principles of organization to be followed	Yes	No	effective organization planning program.
throughout County government and the procedures for review and approval of proposed organizational changes to be adhered to prior to any implementation	Yes	No	

BOARD APPROVAL	IMPLEMENTED	COMMENTS
Yes	Partial	Two Charter Amendments sponsored by the Committee one dividing the huge Charities department into the departments of Hospitals, Adoptions, and Public Social Services, the other giving the Board greater authority to consolidate or separate departments were approved by the voters in the 1966 general
Dofor	Partial	election. Since then the Registrar and Recorder have been merged and the Board has approved consolidation of the Hospitals, Health, and Mental Health departments. The Civil Service Commission did not act on these recommendations until agreement was reached with the E&E Committee on a proposed amendment to the County Charter covering a complete reorganization of County Charter
perer	raittai	personnel functions. See Charter Amendment on Personnel Functions, August 23, 1966.
Yes	Yes	The County unquestionably is far ahead of most government agencies in developing standard bargaining procedures and a professional employee relations program.
Yes	Yes	This responsibility is shared with the Employed Relations Commission which was established to
Yes	Yes	administer the new Employee Relations Ordinance.
		The Civil Service Commission and the Committee reached agreement on the Charter Amendment. The Commission agreed to appoint an interim Secretary and Chief Examiner and delegate to
	Yes Defer Yes Yes	Yes Partial Defer Partial Yes Yes Yes Yes

			<u>-</u>
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS	BOARD APPROVAL	IMPLEMENTED	COMMENTS
 To the extent possible under the present Charter, the responsible County officers should begin immediately to lay the groundwork for consolidation of the County's personnel functions. 	Yes	Yes	the Committee's Civil Service recommendations. The committee agreed that the Commission should remain as department head with the authority to appoint the Director of Personnel.
COUNTY COMPENSATION POLICIES AND PRACTICES, August 3, 1966			
1. The Board of Supervisors employ an outside management consulting firm to - develop and recommend more effective procedures for determination of pay scales for jobs existing primarily in government.	Yes	In process	The committee's report was based on a study conducted by a special Industry Committee organized by the E&E Committee. The Special
 The Board set compensation for all craft jobs on the basis of a survey covering comparable jobs with similar working conditions. 	No	No	Industry Committee was composed of the vice presidents and directors of personnel and their wage and salary managers from eleven major
3. The Board authorize a study by a management consulting firm of County executive compensation to be completed by April 1, 1967, the study to be conducted under the supervision of the Economy and Efficiency Committee.	Yes	Yes	companies in the area. The special committee devoted four months to the study.
4. The County conduct separate surveys of employee benefit programs in the community. In making adjustments to the County's benefit program, consider the entire package of benefits in comparison to area practice.	Yes	Yes	On the first recommendation the Board authorized the Personnel department to conduct the study rather than use an outside consultant and authorized four additional positions. The study was initiated in September, 1970, and
5. The Board instruct the County representatives on the Joint Salary Survey to propose to the other agencies seven changes in survey procedure.(1) Classify employers participating in the Joint Salary Survey by a more detailed		V ₂ -	will cover all classifications in the County except the top executive levels. The department now has seven to eight people assigned to the project. It is scheduled for
breakdown than the four major types now listed.	Yes	Yes	completion in 2½ years.
(2) Publish this more detailed breakdown for each job surveyed.	Yes	Yes	The other three agencies who participated in the joint salary study rejected most of the recommendations on survey procedures.
(3) Identify the jobs which are considered comparable to those in County service.	Yes	No	recommendations on survey procedures.
(4) Show actual rates and scheduled hours per week in the published salary data in addition to converting such rates to a 40-hour week.	Yes	No	
(5) Collect information on changes in salaries during the past year as well as the distribution of current salaries	Yes	Partial	
(6) Include, wherever possible, data for more than one level of job in each occupational group studied.	Yes	No	

	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS	BOARD APPROVAL	IMPLEMENTED	COMMENTS
	oint Salary Survey through joint activity with the U S. Bureau nd the California State	Yes	No	
County averages in orde	County personnel staff to compute and compare community and r to limit payment of more than the average rate in the jobs where circumstances	Yes	Yes	This review will have more value if it is postponed for one or two years. At that time, the review committee will be able to evaluate
	cial committee of qualified individuals at least every five lappraisal and audit of County compensation polices and	Yes	No	the review committee will be able to evaluate the progress of the Countywide classification study now in progress.
	s authorize a contract with the management consulting firm of p a systematic compensation plan for a fee not to exceed	Yes	No	The consultant was hired and conducted the study. The Board rejected the consultant recommendation's and referred the report to the committee for further study. See Executive Compensation in L.A. County Government, May
2. The Board give this rec	ommendation its unanimous support.	No	No	1968
The Board take all necessary County to consolidate the ba	RSHAL FUNCTIONS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY - Sept. 1967 y action to secure State legislation that will enable the ailiff and civil process function under the Sheriff. OS ANGLES COUNTY GOVERNMENT - May, 1968	Yes	No	Two systems specialists from TRW Systems and one analyst from the CAO assisted the committee in this study. Estimated savings were \$1.5 million annually. A Bill authorizing the consolidation has been blocked in the State Legislature for the past 3 years.
for the fiscal year 196	s adopt the salary schedules recommended in Tables I and II 8-69, finding that they satisfy the prevailing wage 47 of the County Charter.	Yes	Yes	The committee appointed a subcommittee of four months reviewing the consultant's recommendations and much additional data.
	icy which prescribes parity between selected departments in unterparts in another single government agency, such as the	Yes	Yes	The committee report, based upon the subcommittee's finding generally recommended lower rates than the consultant had recommended
	ves now on flat rates at the top of the recommended schedule ent with executives currently on salary schedules.	Yes	Yes	
4. The Board adopt the sta	ndard step progression system for new department heads.			
		Yes	No	

	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS	BOARD APPROVAL	IMPLEMENTED	COMMENTS
5.	The Board appoint an Executive Salary Review Committee to assist the Director of Personnel in maintaining the executive salary system and to review changes in department head and chief deputy salary schedules each year. The Committee should consist of the Chief Administrative Officer, the Director of Personnel, the President of the Management Council and two salary administration specialists selected from outside the County.	Yes	Yes	
6.	The Board approve in principle the executive salary program and procedures presented in this report	Yes	Partial	The relative ranking of executivesexcept for sheriff and fire officials still follows the
LOS	ANGELES COUNTY ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES - March, 1969			pattern recommended by the committee
1.	The Board of Supervisors establish an Architectural Evaluation Board consisting of five members serving for two-year, overlapping terms The Architectural Evaluation Board will have two principal functions (1) It will prepare and maintain a file on architects who have requested consideration for County work; (2) It will submit a recommended list architects to the Board of Supervisors for each capital project which the County has determined will be contracted to an	Yes	Yes	The selection system appears to be working well. With the help of County personnel, the Architectural Evaluation Board has developed a consistent, systematic grading system for evaluating architects to determine which are most qualified for a given project.
2.	outside architect. The supervisors will make their final selection from this list. The Board of Supervisors establish the following policies and procedures for the operation of the Architectural Evaluation Board	165	165	
	(1) Architect Files - Architects will indicate their interest in per-forming County work by sending in a brochure and by filling out a questionnaire giving detailed information on the firm's capabilities and experience.	Yes	Yes	
	(2) Capability Categories - The file on architects should be broken down as the Architectural Evaluation Board determines, into appropriate categories.	Yes	Yes	
	(3) County Staff Assistance - The work of tile Architectural Evaluation Board should be closely supported by clerical, technical and advisory assistance from the County staff in the Capital Projects Division of the Chief Administrative Office and the Architectural and Construction Divisions of the County Engineer.	Yes	Yes	
			•	

	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS	BOARD APPROVAL	IMPLEMENTED	COMMENTS
	(4) Recommendations to the Board of Supervisors - In submitting its list of recommended architects to the Board of Supervisors for a particular project, the Architectural Evaluation Board may adopt several approaches depending upon the project. On large, complex projects, for example, the submitted list may include only a few firms. On smaller projects, particularly those which require specialized experience, the list might include a much larger number of firms	Yes	Yes	
	(5) Joint Venture Arrangements - The County discontinue the practice of appointing "multiple architects" on large projects."	Yes	Yes	
	(6) Design Competitions - Use of design competitions is not an effective method for selecting architects to perform work for the County.	Yes	Yes	
	(7) Rotating Appointments - The County make a special effort to spread, awards among qualified architects by restricting architects to one County project at a time. The Architectural Evaluation Board, however, should be free to recommend an exception to this policy if it concludes that the firm most qualified for a given project would be disqualified.	Yes	Yes	Beginning in April, 1970, the Board has made 28 appointments using the new selection system. Nineteen appointments have been to architects without previous experience with the County. The other nine have been made to architects who have completed their contract or for
	(8) Performance Reports - Once a project is completed, the Chief Administrative Officer and the County Engineer should jointly prepare a report evaluating the architect's performance. This report should be made a permanent part of the architect's file and should be used by the Architectural Evaluation Board to evaluate his qualifications.	Yes	Yes	modifications or additions to work already performed.
3.	The Management Audit section in the Chief Administrative Office conduct a study in conjunction with the Capital Projects Division and the Engineer to develop a clear delineation of responsibility and control procedures for the administration of construction projects.	Yes	Partial	The previous CAO and the County Engineer made some changes to strengthen administrative control on construction projects. The new CAO has given the study top priority. He has assigned two analysis as the nucleus of a task force to conduct the study.
4.	The Board of Supervisors continue the present policy of assigning large construction projects to contract architects and small or maintenance projects to the County Engineer.	Yes	Yes	
			1	

	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS	BOARD APPROVAL	IMPLEMENTED	COMMENTS
5.	The Board assign master planning projects to the County Engineer unless the project requires talents of a specialized nature not ordinarily available on the County staff.	Yes	Yes	
6.	The Board direct the County Engineer to expand the program for the proportion and adaptation of standard plans for receptive projects.	Yes	No	The facilities for which the County has let design contracts since the committee's report
7.	The Board directs the County Engineer to reestablish and expand the program of written standards covering materials and equipment to be used for county facilities.	Yes	Yes	have been too dissimilar to allow application of a standard plan.
LOS	ANGELES COUNTY ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES - March, 1969			
1.	The Board of Supervisors place an amendment to the County Charter on the ballot in November, 1970, general election, the amendment to include the following provisions.			The Charter Amendment (County Proposition B) was approved by Supervisors Debs, Bonelli, Chace and Dorn. It was endorsed by the Greater Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce, The California Tax
	(1) A position with the title "County Chief Executive" shall be established by charter provision. The County Chief Executive shall act as executive head of the County with broad appointment and dismissal authority.	Yes	No	Payers Association of California, The 1970 Grand Jury, The Grand Jurors Association, and most of the newspapers, radio stations and televisions in the County.
	(2) The Chief Executive shall be appointed by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors following an open competitive examination process based upon merit.	Yes	No	It was signed by Supervisor Hahn and the County Federation of Labor, AFL-CIO.
	(3) The Chief Executive shall serve at the pleasure of the Board without civil service or contract tenure.	Yes	No	The L.A. County Employees Association remained neutral.
	(4) The County charter shall outline in general terms the responsibilities of the Chief Executive for the organization, planning, direction and control of county operations.	Yes	No	The voters defeated the amendment by a vote of 1,040,391 (56.43%) NO to 803,168 (43.56%) YES.
	(5) The charter shall include a provision which gives the Chief Executive authority, subject to approval of the Board, to reorganize County departments reporting to him into agencies, each agency to consist of departments performing related functions. It shall also include a provision which gives the Chief Executive authority, subject to approval of the Board, to establish an incentive pay plan for County executives.	Yes	No	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS	BOARD APPROVAL	IMPLEMENTED	COMMENTS
(6) The authority to appoint or dismiss the Director of Personnel, now delegated by the County Charter to the Civil Service Commission, shall be transferred to the Chief Executive.	Yes	No	
(7) Subject to majority approval of the Board of Supervisors, the Chief Executive shall appoint or dismiss all agency heads and department heads except the three elected officials and certain designated	Yes	No	
(8) Agency heads and department heads shall be exempt from the classified service. The Chief Executive shall have the authority, subject to approval of the Board, to exempt other executives who hold upper level positions in a department, such as chief deputy.	Yes	No	
(9) Executives in the unclassified service shall be appointed through a competitive selection process based on merit	Yes	No	
(10) If an executive in the unclassified service is replaced, he shall have the right to return to civil service tenure on an appropriate organizational level as determined by the Chief Executive.	Yes	No	
(11) Present County officials in positions which have been assigned to the unclassified service shall retain their civil service status for a period of five years. At the end of five years, or if they retire before five years, their positions shall be made exempt.	Yes	No	
2. The Board of Supervisors place the question of increasing the Board to seven members on the ballot in the November, 1970, general election as an amendment to the County Charter	No	No	A majority of the committee opposed an increase in the Board. A minority supported an increase. The committee unanimously recommended, however, The Board voted 3 - 2
CONSOLIDATION OF COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND CENTRALIZATION OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION FUNCTIONMAY 21, 1971			against the recommendation.
 On approval of enabling legislation in Sacramento, the Board of Supervisors proceed immediately to select the person to head the consolidated health services department which will combine the departments of Mental Health, Hospitals, Health, and the County Veterinarian. 	Yes	In Process	

	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
BOARD APPROVAL	IMPLEMENTED	COMMENTS
Yes	Yes	
Refer to CAO	In Process	
er Refer to CAO	Yes	
Refer to CAO	No	
Yes	In Process	
No	No	Before the committee's report was submitted to the Board of Supervisors, the Civil Service Commission conducted an examination for the
		position limited to County Employees only. To qualify for the examination County employees had to have upper level administrative
		experience in a County department.
		In the committee's report was formally presented to the Board of Supervisors at their February 22 meeting. At the same meeting the Board appointed
3	Yes Il Refer to CAO Refer to CAO Refer to CAO	Yes Yes Il Refer to CAO In Process r Refer to CAO Yes Refer to CAO No Yes In Process

			rage 11
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS	BOARD APPROVAL	IMPLEMENTED	COMMENTS
(4) Substantial experience in administering estatesprobate, conservatorships, and guardianships			James Mize, Executive Office of James Mize, Executive Officer of the Board, who was rated first in the examination, to the position. He
(5) Thorough knowledge and understanding of accounting principles and practices.			will continue to serve in his present position also.
(6) Thorough knowledge of federal state and inheritance tax.			